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Breadbasket Boycott Against A&P Still On

The Rev. W. A. Jones, chairman of the Rochester NAACP, said yesterday that Operation Breadbasket will continue to be active in Rochester. The overall goal of the NAACP is the boycott of A&P through the entire country.

The action initiated against A&P by the Rochester NAACP, who have been active in the city for many years, is an extension of the boycott of A&P used throughout the nation. The boycott was initiated to pressure A&P to conform to the Fair Trade Law of 1968, which requires that all food chains and brokers handle A&P products.

A&P has been forced to change its marketing practices in several cities, including Rochester, where the boycott was initiated.

The Rochester NAACP said that the boycott is continuing to pressure A&P to change its practices and to conform to the Fair Trade Law.

Ruth Tyler To Be Awarded College Degree

Ruth Tyler will be awarded a college degree by the Rochester NAACP, who have been active in the city for many years. The degree will be awarded at a ceremony to be held at the NAACP headquarters in Rochester.

Ruth Tyler has been a member of the Rochester NAACP for many years and has been involved in several of the organization's activities. She has been active in the NAACP's efforts to pressure A&P to conform to the Fair Trade Law.

The Rochester NAACP said that the degree is being awarded to Ruth Tyler to recognize her contributions to the NAACP and to its efforts to pressure A&P to conform to the Fair Trade Law.
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Group discussion is a new approach to drug education in this region. Its objective is to create an environment where individuals are able to openly express their feelings and experiences of drug use. In this way, students are encouraged to participate and express their own experiences, which can potentially change the way society views drug use.

The communications course, "Drug Education,' is conducted at the high school level and focuses on drug use and its effects on society. It is designed to provide students with an understanding of the different forms of drug use and their impact on society. The course covers a wide range of topics, including the history of drug use, the effects of drug use on the body, and the legal and social implications of drug use.

The course is taught by a group of educators who have experience in the field of drug education. They use a variety of teaching methods, including lectures, group discussions, and role-playing exercises, to help students gain a better understanding of drug use.

In conclusion, group discussion is an effective way to educate students about drug use. It provides an opportunity for students to express their thoughts and feelings about drug use, and it can help change the way society views drug use.
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**8 Track FM/AM/FM Stereo**

**ENTERTAINMENT CENTER**

**219.95**

Versatile FM/AM/FM stereo radio has car-conversion 8-track stereo cartridge player. With optional bracket, 8-track player can be snapped out and adapted for car use. 20 watts of peak music power. Integrated circuitry. Built-in FM and AM antennas. Separate speaker enclosures, each housing a 6" and a 24" air suspension speaker. Walnut wood cabinet. Model RE-8080.

---

**Cylindrical**

**AM PORTABLE RADIO**

**12.88**

Can be used as a bracelet or set on table or desk top. Battery operation, sensitive AM reception, built-in AM ferrite core antenna, AC/DC-range dynamic speaker, earphone, solid-state engineered. 4 colors. #R-752.

---

**Quadrasonic FM/AM/FM**

**STEREO RADIO**

**199.95**

Features Quadrasonic Amplifier system, 4 separate speaker systems, 40 watt peak music power, integrated circuitry, built-in FM and AM antennas. Model RE-7750.

---

**AM/FM Digital**

**CLOCK RADIO**

**42.95**


---

**AM/FM Portable**

**TABLE RADIO**

**21.88**


---

**Battery Operated**

**CASSETTE TAPE RECORDER**

**29.95**

"Drugs - A People To People Problem"

Mayor Stephen May reminded his constituents of the big picture behind the current drug abuse. "The young adults of today are facing the consequences of actions taken by their predecessors. We must all work together to address the issue," he said.

On June 1, 1972, Mayor May traveled to Rochester, New York, to speak at the Hispanic American Anti-Drug League meeting. He discussed the importance of education and awareness in combating drug abuse.

Mayor May continued, "Drug education must be approached from a multi-disciplinary perspective. Schools, communities, and families all play a role in preventing drug abuse.

In addition to his speech, Mayor May encouraged the establishment of a community task force to address drug abuse.

Mayor May concluded his remarks by thanking the Hispanic American Anti-Drug League for their commitment to combating drug abuse. "It is only through unity and cooperation that we can make a significant impact on this issue," he said.

Mayor May's words resonated with the audience, who applauded his commitment to fighting drug abuse. The meeting concluded with a call to action for everyone to join the fight against drug abuse.

Mayor Stephen May's speeches and initiatives were instrumental in bringing attention to the drug abuse problem in Rochester. His leadership and dedication to the issue continue to inspire people to take action and make a difference in their communities.

Mayor Stephen May's approach to drug abuse was rooted in education, awareness, and community involvement. His speeches and initiatives continue to inspire people to take action and make a difference in their communities.

Rent-a-kid.

We have a way to get you through summer with more fun and less work. We'll arrange it so your grass gets cut, your car gets washed or the ironing gets ironed. It's our Rent-a-kid program.

We'll call you and arrange to have a teenager work for you. You pay the Rent-a-kid yourself. There's no middleman. It's just $1 to $1.85 an hour, depending on the job.

If you're ever dissatisfied, let us know and we'll match you up with another youngster.

Rent-a-kid makes a lot of sense for a couple of reasons. It lets teenagers make a few bucks. And it gives you a lot more summer.

Mail or bring the coupon below to any Central Trust office. Or phone Rent-a-kid at 263-4385, 56, or 89.

Rent-a-kid Homeowner Registration Form

44 Exchange Street, Rochester, New York 14603

Name
Address
Home Phone
If no home phone, or if not home during day, give number at which you can be reached.

Check below the type of job you anticipate having available:

- Cutting grass (power mower)
- Cutting grass (hand mower)
- Raking leaves
- Washing windows
- General housecleaning
- General planting
- Farm chores
- Washing the car
- Polishing silver
- Babysitting
- Painting inside
- Painting outside
- Painting basement
- Painting sick
- General cleaning
- Laundry
- Babysitting
- Raking leaves
- General housekeeping
- Shopping
- Other

Would this work necessitate the operation of power machinery or climbing of ladders?

Indicate here the frequency of work to be performed; half or whole day?

Would you be willing to provide transportation to an area youngster?

What bus lines run near your house?

Rent-a-kid will call you when a teenager is available to perform the work you have requested.

Sign here.

Rent-a-kid. It'll do both of you some good.

CENTRAL TRUST COMPANY

A Charter New York Bank
WASHINGTON — Job discrimination that led to block an election in Louisiana and also existed in three pre-trial preparation charges in local election districts was found not to have existed in the same districts last month.

The 8th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals found that such discrimination may exist in low-income areas and that the plaintiff's evidence was not sufficient to prove discrimination.
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Thursday, June 1 - 8, 1972

**SANDWICH LOAF**
- **ITALIAN DRESSING**
  - **SWEET RELISH**

**FOOD CLUB**
- **ELBOWS, SHELLS & RINGS**

**BRAVO**
- 1 LB. EGG PLANTERS PEANUTS

**MARGARINE**
- **GOLDEN QUARTERS**

**PEANUT BUTTER**
- **REGULAR & DRIED BEECH-NUT COFFEE**

**TINY SHRIMP**
- **WELCH'S GRAPE JELLY**

**CRUMPET**
- **REGULAR & DRIED BEECH-NUT COFFEE**

**LOAF**
- **CORNED BEEF HASH**

**PLANTER'S PEANUTS**
- **GRAPE FLAVOR WELCH'S ADE**

**SWEET CALIFORNIA SIZE**
- **BREAD**

**Juicy, Short Ribs O Beef**
- **ITALIAN & POLISH HOTS**

**BEEF**
- **ALL BROWNIE**

**CHIPPED LARGE BEEF BOLOGNA**
- **ALL BROWNIE**

**CARAMEL CODFORD**
- **LARGE BEEF BOLOGNA**

**WHIPPED TOPPING**
- **TOP FROST VEG.**

**FROZEN FOOD STOCK-UP SPECIALS**
- **CHEESE PIZZA**

**WATERMELON**
- **LARGE JUICY**

**LADY FAIR**
- **ICE CREAM**

**TOWELS**
- **WHIPPED TOPPING**

**BISCUIT**
- **BREAD**

**FROZEN FOOD STOCK-UP SPECIALS**
- **TOP FROST VEG.**